

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/925,560

[illegible]

HODSON ET AL.

Examiner

N. Bhat

Art Unit

1761

All Participants:

(1) N. Bhat.

(2) Mr. Sherrer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

25-28

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner asked applicant's representative to fax claims 25-28 so that the examiner could have these claims scanned. These claims were missing or not scanned. Applicant's representative indicated that the rejection only recited claims 9-24 which were the claims in the case at the time of the office action. The examiner does see that applicant's pre-amendment referred to 9-28, so the examiner is asking applicant to supply these claims to the examiner. No new matter is introduced by this action.